## XV International Zhautykov Olympiad in Mathematics Almaty, 2019 January 11, 9.00-13.30

**Nº1.** Prove that there are at least 100! ways to partition the number 100! into summands from the set  $\{1!, 2!, 3!, \ldots, 99!\}$ . (Partitions differing in the order of summands are considered the same; any summand can be taken multiple times. We remind that  $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \ldots \cdot n$ .)

**Solution.** Let us prove by induction on  $n \ge 4$  that there are at least n! ways to partition the number n! into summands from  $\{1!, 2!, \ldots, (n-1)!\}$ .

For n = 4, if we use only the summands 1!, 2! there are 13 ways to partition 4! as 2! can be used from 0 to 12 times. If 3! is used 1 time, then 4! - 3! = 18 can be partitioned using 1!, 2! in 10 ways. We get at least one more partition if we use 3! two times. So, there are at least 24 such partitions as needed.

Suppose now the statement holds for n and let us prove it for n+1. To partition (n+1)!, the summand n! can be used i times for  $0 \le i \le n$ . By the hypothesis, for every such i, the remaining number  $(n+1)! - i \cdot n! = (n+1-i) \cdot n!$  can be partitioned into the summands  $\{1!, \ldots, (n-1)!\}$  in at least n! ways as follows. For any partition of n! take each summand appearing say k times and write it (n+1-i)k times. Hence we obtain at least  $(n+1) \cdot n! = (n+1)!$  ways to partition the number (n+1)! as desired. The original problem follows for n = 100 then.

№2. Find the largest real C such that for all pairwise distinct positive real  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2019}$  the following inequality holds

$$\frac{a_1}{a_2 - a_3|} + \frac{a_2}{|a_3 - a_4|} + \dots + \frac{a_{2018}}{|a_{2019} - a_1|} + \frac{a_{2019}}{|a_1 - a_2|} > C$$

2. The answer is 1010.

**Solution.** Without loss of generality we assume that  $\min(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2019}) = a_1$ . Note that if a, b, c  $(b \neq c)$  are positive, then  $\frac{a}{|b-c|} > \min(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{c})$ . Hence

$$S = \frac{a_1}{|a_2 - a_3|} + \dots + \frac{a_{2019}}{|a_1 - a_2|} > 0 + \min\left(\frac{a_2}{a_3}, \frac{a_2}{a_4}\right) + \dots + \min\left(\frac{a_{2017}}{a_{2018}}, \frac{a_{2017}}{a_{2019}}\right) + \frac{a_{2018}}{a_{2019}} + \frac{a_{2019}}{a_2} = T.$$

Take  $i_0 = 2$  and for each  $\ell \ge 0$  let  $i_{\ell+1} = i_{\ell} + 1$  if  $a_{i_{\ell}+1} > a_{i_{\ell}+2}$  and  $i_{\ell+1} = i_{\ell} + 2$  otherwise. There is an integral k such that  $i_k < 2018$  and  $i_{k+1} \ge 2018$ . Then

$$T \ge \frac{a_2}{a_{i_1}} + \frac{a_{i_1}}{a_{i_2}} + \dots + \frac{a_{i_k}}{a_{i_{k+1}}} + \frac{a_{2018}}{a_{2019}} + \frac{a_{2019}}{a_2} = A.$$
 (1)

We have  $1 \leq i_{\ell+1} - i_{\ell} \leq 2$ , therefore  $i_{k+1} \in \{2018, 2019\}$ .

 $\operatorname{Since}$ 

$$2018 \leqslant i_{k+1} = i_0 + (i_1 - i_0) + \dots + (i_{k+1} - i_k) \leqslant 2(k+2), \tag{2}$$

it follows that  $k \ge 1007$ . Consider two cases.

(i) k = 1007. Then in the inequality (2) we have equalities everywhere, in particular  $i_{k+1} = 2018$ . Applying AM-GM inequality for k + 3 numbers to (1) we obtain  $A \ge k + 3 \ge 1010$ .

(ii)  $k \ge 1008$ . If  $i_{k+1} = 2018$  then we get  $A \ge k+3 \ge 1011$  by the same argument as in the case (i). If  $i_{k+1} = 2019$  then applying AM-GM inequality to k+2 summands in (1) (that is, to all the summands except  $\frac{a_{2018}}{a_{2019}}$ ) we get  $A \ge k+2 \ge 1010$ .

**N**<sup>o</sup>3. The extension of median CM of the triangle ABC intersects its circumcircle  $\omega$  at N. Let P and Q be the points on the rays CA and CB respectively such that  $PM \parallel BN$  and  $QM \parallel AN$ . Let X and Y be the points on the segments PM and QM respectively such that PY and QX are tangent to  $\omega$ . The segments PY and QX intersect at Z. Prove that the quadrilateral MXZY is circumscribed.

Solution.

**Lemma.** The points K and L lie on the sides BC and AC of a triangle ABC. The segments AK and BL intersect at D. Then the quadrilateral CKDL is circumscribed if and only if AC - BC = AD - BD.

**Proof.** Let CKDL be circumscribed and its incircle touches LC, CK, KD, DL at X, Y, Z, T respectively (see Fig. 1). Then

$$AC - BC = AX - BY = AZ - BT = AD - BD.$$



Now suppose that AC - BC = AD - BD. Let the tangent to the incircle of *BLC* different from *AC* meets the segments *BL* and *BC* at  $D_1$  and  $K_1$  respectively. If  $K = K_1$  then the lemma is proved. Otherwise  $AD_1 - BD_1 = AC - BC = AD - BD$  or  $AD_1 - BD_1 = AD - BD$ . In the case when *D* lies on the segment  $BD_1$  (see Fig. 2) we have

$$AD_1 - BD_1 = AD - BD \Rightarrow AD_1 - AD = BD_1 - BD \Rightarrow AD_1 - AD = DD_1.$$

But the last equation contradicts the triangle inequality, since  $AD_1 - AD < DD_1$ . The case when D is outside the segment  $BD_1$  is similar.

Back to the solution of the problem, let PY and QX touch  $\omega$  at  $Y_1$  and  $X_1$  respectively. Since ACBN is cyclic and  $PM \parallel BN$  we have  $\angle ACN = \angle ABN = \angle AMP$ , i. e. the circumcircle of  $\triangle AMC$  is tangent to the line PM. Thus  $PM^2 = PA \cdot PC$ . But  $PA \cdot PC = PY_1^2$ , and therefore  $PM = PY_1$ . In the same way we have  $QM = QX_1$ . Obviously  $ZX_1 = ZY_1$ . It remains to note that the desired result follows from the Lemma because

$$PM - QM = PY_1 - QX_1 = (PZ + ZY_1) - (QZ + ZX_1) = PZ - QZ \quad \Rightarrow \quad PM - QM = PZ - QZ.$$



Рис. 3

Note. This solution does not use the comdition that M is the midpoint of AB.