Scheme for $N_{2}1$ .
1. It has been noticed that for large $n$ , if the integer part of the quotient of $3^n$ and $2^n$ is odd, then $n+1$ is a solution:
Scheme for $N^{\underline{0}}2$ .
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Marking scheme for first solution.} \\ \textbf{General remarks:} \\ \textbf{a) Full solution:} & & & & & & \\ \textbf{b) For incomplete computations (coordinates, complex numbers, vectors, trigonometry, etc.):} & & & & & \\ \textbf{partial achievements:} & & & & & & \\ \textbf{1. Proving that } CPFQ \text{ is a parallelogram:} & & & & & & \\ \textbf{2. Proving that } CQRD \text{ and (or) } CPSB \text{ are parallelograms:} & & & & & \\ \textbf{2. Proving that } BX = DY \text{ and (or) } DX = BY: & & & & & & \\ \textbf{4. Proving that } AD \text{ and } BE \text{ are common internal tangents lines of } \omega_1 \text{ and (or) } \omega_2: & & & \\ \textbf{1. Point.} & & & & & & & & \\ \textbf{1. Paragraphs 4 and 3 are not additive.} & & & & & \\ \textbf{1. Paragraphs 5 and 3 are not additive.} & & & & & \\ \textbf{Marking scheme for second solution.} & & & & \\ \textbf{General remarks:} & & & & & & & \\ \textbf{2. For incomplete computations (coordinates, complex numbers, vectors, trigonometry, etc.): & & & \\ \textbf{0. points.} & & & & & \\ \textbf{Partial achievements:} & & & & & & \\ \textbf{2. Proving that } CQRD \text{ and (or) } CPSB \text{ are parallelograms:} & & & & & \\ \textbf{2. Proving that } CQRD \text{ and (or) } CPSB \text{ are parallelograms:} & & & & \\ \textbf{3. Proving that } CQRD \text{ and (or) } CPSB \text{ are parallelograms:} & & & & \\ \textbf{4. points.} & & & & & & \\ \textbf{4. points.} & & & & & & \\ \textbf{4. points.} & & & & & & \\ \textbf{4. points.} & & & & & & \\ \textbf{4. points.} & & & & & & \\ \textbf{5. Proving that } CQRD \text{ and (or) } CPSB \text{ are parallelograms:} & & & & \\ \textbf{4. points.} & & & & & \\ \textbf{4. points.} & & & & & \\ \textbf{4. points.} & & & $
Scheme for $N_{2}3$ .
No points are provided for the proofs of Propositions 1 and 2
No points are deducted ir Proposition 1 is used without a proof.
If Proposition 2 is used without a proof
In the sequel, we list some advantages to the official solutions. The points within one solution are additive; the points from different solutions are not additive.
Towards Solution 1
No points are provided for just a proof of the Lemma.  (1.1) If the Lemma is used without a proof, no points are deducted.
(1.2) Formulation of the Claim, and reducing the problem statement to the Claim 1 point Caveat. The proof of the following statement (following from Proposition 2) is regarded as satisfying (1.2): It suffices to prove that there is a table filled with nonnegative numbers whose rook set sums are respectively equal to those in Elwyn's table.

(1.3) Proof of the Claim
No points are provided for just showing that one can decrease several numbers in a good table so that the table remains good, and all its cells become blocked.
Towards Solution 2
(2.1) Only a proof of Step 1 <b>5 points</b>
(2.2) Only a proof of Step 2
(2.3) Putting all together
Caveat. The point for $(2.3)$ is provided only if the parts $(2.1)$ and $(2.2)$ are essentially complete, possibly with minor flaws.
Towards Solution 3
(3.1) Introducing two convex cones $G$ and $T$ , and showing that both are closed
(3.2) Explicit description of all supporting linear functions of $T$
(3.3) Finishing the proof using the mentioned description
Scheme for $N^{\circ}4$ .
General remarks: Full solution: 7 points. Partial achievements: 1. Considering of the circles of radii $r'_1, r'_2, r'_3$ (with clear description of their obtaining): 3 points. 2. Stating (without proof), that $r_1 \geq r'_1$ : 1 points. 3. Proving that $r_1 \geq r'_1$ : 2 points. 4. Stating (without proof), that $r'_1 + r'_2 + r'_3 = r$ : 1 point. 5. Proving that $r'_1 + r'_2 + r'_3 = r$ : 2 points. Paragraphs 2 and 3 are not additive. Paragraphs 4 and 5 are not additive. Marking scheme for second solution. General remarks: Full solution: 7 points. Partial achievements: 1. Proving one of the equalities $ \bullet \sin \frac{\angle A}{2} = \frac{r - r_1}{r + r_1} \text{ or } $ $ \bullet r_1 = r \cdot \frac{1 - \sin \frac{\angle A}{2}}{1 + \sin \frac{\angle A}{2}} \text{ or } $ $ \bullet r_1 = r \cdot \text{tg}^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\angle A}{4}\right)$ : 3 points. 2. Stating that $\sin \frac{\angle A}{2} + \sin \frac{\angle B}{2} + \sin \frac{\angle C}{2} \leq 3 \cdot \sin \frac{\angle A}{2} + \frac{\angle B}{2} + \frac{\angle C}{2}$ : 2 points.
Nevertheless stating (without proof) that $\sin \frac{\angle A}{2} + \sin \frac{\angle B}{2} + \sin \frac{\angle C}{2} \le \frac{3}{2}$ :

• 
$$\frac{1-\sin\frac{\angle A}{2}}{1+\sin\frac{\angle A}{2}} + \frac{1-\sin\frac{\angle B}{2}}{1+\sin\frac{\angle B}{2}} + \frac{1-\sin\frac{\angle C}{2}}{1+\sin\frac{\angle C}{2}} \ge 3 \cdot \frac{1-\sin\frac{\frac{\angle A}{2}+\frac{\angle B}{2}+\frac{\angle C}{2}}{3}}{1+\sin\frac{\frac{\angle A}{2}+\frac{\angle B}{2}+\frac{\angle C}{2}}{3}}$$
 or

• 
$$tg^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\angle A}{4}\right) + tg^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\angle B}{4}\right) + tg^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\angle C}{4}\right) \ge 3 \cdot tg^2\frac{3 \cdot \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\angle A}{4} - \frac{\angle B}{4} - \frac{\angle C}{4}}{3}$$
:

 $2 ext{ points}$ 

4. Proving any of the inequalities

$$\bullet \frac{1-\sin\frac{\angle A}{2}}{1+\sin\frac{\angle A}{2}} + \frac{1-\sin\frac{\angle B}{2}}{1+\sin\frac{\angle B}{2}} + \frac{1-\sin\frac{\angle C}{2}}{1+\sin\frac{\angle C}{2}} \ge 1 \text{ or }$$

• 
$$\operatorname{tg}^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\angle A}{4}\right) + \operatorname{tg}^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\angle B}{4}\right) + \operatorname{tg}^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\angle C}{4}\right) \ge 1$$
:

......4 points.

Only paragraphs 1 and 2, 1 and 3, 1 and 4 can be additive.

## Scheme for No.5.

Caveat. In what follows, by a strategy we always mean an explicit description of player's actions. Phrases like "we act so that  $\langle some\ property \rangle$  is preserved" usually need some explanations, and they will be undergraded if such explanation is missing.

- (O) **No points** are given for the following initial steps:
- (O1) Just claiming the answer;
- (O2) Noticing that F does not decrease;
- (O3) Just introdicing a notion of a stable situation.
- (A) Presenting a strategy ensuring that Ann reaches F = 34, with a correct proof that it works 4 points
- (A1) Only presenting a correct strategy allowing Ann to reach F = 34, without a correct proof 2 points
- (A2) Only presenting, with a proof, a strategy ensuring that Ann reaches  $F = 33 \dots 1$  point
- - (B1) Only presenting a correct Bob's strategy preventing F = 35, without a correct proof ... 1 point
- (B2) Only presenting, possibly with a proof, Bob's strategy preventing F=36 (or even larger values) **0 points**

Points within one part A or B are not additive. Points from different parts (A and B) are additive.

- (X) If no points are awarded for parts A and B, a student may get a following partial credit.

## Scheme for $N_{9}6$ .

- 2. Noted that the values of Q in the roots of P are themselves roots of P (not additive with the part a))

  1 point.